

that requires further fact finding. See Meyer v. Astrue, 662 F.3d 700, 707 (4th Cir. 2011) (“Assessing the probative value of competing evidence is quintessentially the role of the fact finder. We cannot undertake it in the first instance. Therefore, we must remand the case for further fact finding”).

Here, the Commissioner asks the Court to reverse her decision and to remand the case for further administrative proceedings, and Plaintiff consents. Doc. 13 at 1.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

1. The Commissioner’s “Consent Motion for Reversal and Remand Pursuant to Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g)” (Doc. 13) is **GRANTED**, the Commissioner’s decision is **REVERSED**, and this matter is **REMANDED** pursuant to Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).
2. Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 11) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.
3. The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to enter a separate judgment of remand, thereby closing the case.

Signed: October 4, 2022



W. Carleton Metcalf
United States Magistrate Judge

